

تحت رعاية معالي رئيس مجلس الوزراء المصري المهندس شريف إسماعيل مؤتمر تحلية المياه الحادي عشر في البلدان العربية UNDER THE PATRONAGE OF THE EGYPTIAN PRIME MINISTER ENGINEER SHERIF ISMAIL 11TH WATER DISALINATION CONFERENCE IN THE ARAB COUNTRIES

18-19 APRIL 2017 • INTERCONTINENTAL CITY STARS - CAIRO - EGYPT

A new approach for assessment of the performance efficiency of DCMD when desalting feeds of high salinity

Omar A. Bamaga, Mohammad Albeirutty, Akram M. Nahri Center of Excellence in Desalination Technology King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah, Saudi Arabia

بالتعاون مع

تنظیم EX:ICO

> WWW. ARWADEX.NET متعاونوا الدورات السابقة



The need for non conventional desalination



Drivers for the third generation desalination technology

- ***** Utilize different forms of energy (i.e. waste heat, solar thermal energy)
- Increase the overall recovery ratio of RO and MSF plants especially for inland brackish groundwater RO plants
- ✤ Increase the overall energy efficiency of MSF plants





Membrane distillation (MD)





 MD is a thermal, phase change separation process

CED

- Hydrophobic micro-porous membrane with pore size 0.1- 0.4 μm
- The driving force is the partial vapour pressure difference due to temperature difference across the hot and cold sides of the membrane
- Operates at low temperatures (50 70°C)
 (Suitable for RE, waste heat)
- Possibility to desalinate feeds with very high salinity
- Produces high quality water
- Low hydrostatic pressure needed
- Resistive against fouling and scaling
- Modular and simple design
- Low investment cost
- No chemical pre-treatment of the feed water.



Direct Contact MD Configuration





- Simple system design and operation
- Higher permeate flux
- High heat losses



Parameters affecting DCMD flux and Energy efficiency of MD process



Process operating parameters

- Feed temperature
- Permeate temperature
- Feed flow rate
- Permeate flow rate
- Feed concentration
- Fouling and Scaling Control
- Pretreatment Method

Polarization effects

Temperature polarizationConcentration polarization

Membrane and membrane module parameters

- Type of polymer
- •Hydrophobicity (contact
 angle)
- Porosity
- Pore size
- Thickness
- Tortuosity
- Thermal conductivity
- Membrane module dimensions
- Membrane Area/Module



Temperature polarization phenomenon in MD



Hydrophobic Membrane



At both sides of the membrane the temperature at the membrane-liquid interface is not equal to the

temperature in the bulk of the liquid

Negative effects of TP : Reducing the overall driving force for vapor transfer from the feed side to the permeate side



Temperature polarization coefficient (TPC)



Hydrophobic Membrane



TPC is defined as the ratio of the trans-membrane temperature gradient to the difference between the temperatures of the feed and permeate bulk streams

$$E = \frac{\Delta T_m}{\Delta T} = \frac{T_{fm} - T_{pm}}{T_f - T_p}$$

MD flux performance efficiency



 P_{f}





Based on Knudsen diffusion and Poiseuille viscosity flow models

$$Q_{max} = C(P_f - P_p)$$

- Membrane distillation coefficient
- P_p = Saturation vapor pressure of pure water at the membrane surfacewater interface on the feed side and permeate side, respectively



Saturation vapor pressure



• The saturated vapor pressure can be determined using the Antoine equation for pure water

$$P_w = exp \ (23.1964 - \frac{3816.44}{T - 46.13})$$

• In the case of saline feed, the calculated value of the saturated vapor pressure should be adjusted to account for the molar fractions of water and the solute

 $P_f = \chi_w (1 - 0.5\,\chi_s - 10\chi_s^2) P_w$

• For Millipore PVDF membrane with pore size of 0.22 μ m the membrane distillation coefficient is 3.459 x 10⁻⁷ kgm⁻² s⁻¹Pa⁻¹



Details of DCMD experiments



Experime	Purpose	Process parameters			
nts	_	T_f	T_p	Q_f	Q_p
		⁰ C	⁰ C	l/min	l/min
Set #1	Studying the effect of feed cross flow rates on the	70	20	1	1.8
	performance of commercial PVDF membranes using pure water as a feed	70	20	1.6	1.8
		70	20	3	1.8
Set #2	Studying the effect of feed cross flow rates on the	56	20	1	1
	performance of commercial PVDF membranes using	56	20	2	1
	SWRO brine as a feed	56	20	3	1
Set #3	Four-factorial design experiment to establish the	45	1	1	20
	individual and combined effects of the operating	65	1	1	20
	parameters using SWRO brine as a feed	45	3	1	20
		65	3	1	20
		45	1	3	20
		65	1	3	20
		45	3	3	20
		65	3	3	20
		45	1	1	30
		65	1	1	30
		45	3	1	30
		65	3	1	30
		45	1	3	30
		65	1	3	30
		45	3	3	30
		65	3	3	30



Type of feed water: Distilled water

Type of feed water: SWRO brine



Effect of operating parameters on DCMD permeate flux type of feed water: SWRO brine

CED





Effect of operating parameters on MD flux performance efficiency type of feed water: SWRO brine







Effect of feed cross flow rate on temperature polarization as expressed by the MD performance efficiency





How to control TP



CEDT

- Use modules with smaller length
- Limitations of module length: The temperature drop between the inlet and the outlet of either side of the membrane due to high heat loss by conduction should be minimized.
- The pressure drop between the inlet and the outlet of either side of the membrane should not exceed the LEP for the membrane
- Work at high cross flow velocity
- limitations cross flow velocity: the hydrostatic pressure should not exceed the LEP
- Use membranes with low thermal conductivity
- Use spacers to promote turbulent flow regime







- Membrane development: engineered polymers + improved membrane preparation techniques
 Aim: higher flux, lower fouling, lower wetting, improved rejection
- Module design and optimization: reduced temperature and concentration polarization and increased recovery ratio
- Use of cost-effective heat sources: Solar, boiler blowdown
- MD System design: lower energy use, higher performance, fouling and scaling control, wetting control





شکر الکم Thank You